TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

the This Amounts
tax long on the
Apt paridents

A Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

NOV 2 0 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency:
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

* Service in the name of my roomate Matthew 5. Robinson

Name

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

IRGINIA & ROBER

Address

1.04. Ky. 40217

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name

Address

924 muncre theoff

LOUIR. KY 40201

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Noods Lame #101

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name



RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

3018 Aubert

Louisville Ky 40206

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Mark Steiner

40 ZO7

NOV 2 0 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency:
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature	TiDant
Name	TINDARST
Address	1534 QUADRANT AG
	40205

RECEIVED

NOV 2 0 2012

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

<u>Meulles</u>

Louisille KY 4020

NOV 2 0 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Call / Familian

outsville KY 40217



RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name



RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Sean Harrigan

10d 5t.

Louisville KY 40217